

Are Britons Determined to be Slaves?

The British people have allowed themselves to be manœuvred into a ridiculous but highly dangerous position, and they cannot hold themselves altogether blameless in the matter. Owing to criminal neglect of duty, the Press and the politicians of the older Parties have allowed a hostile army to reach the position of official Opposition in Parliament, an army recruited by means of Jew-invented falsehoods. Whether the recognised leaders are dupes like their followers, cannot be stated definitely. Some undoubtedly are.

The present (Baldwin) Government has made easier the path to power of this army by discarding the principles of Conservatism, to uphold which it was elected. At the Guildhall banquet just after the last General Election, Mr. Baldwin gave a hint that these principles were not going to be adhered to, for the astounding reason that some of the voters who had supported him must have been Radicals or Socialists, since his majority was so great. This was enough to have caused his immediate removal from the leadership, amounting as it did to a libel on Conservatism, which is essentially non-sectional.

The reason why the older Parties did not nip the menace of Socialism in the bud can only be because Socialism in its present-day aspect is Jewish, and they are under the same influence. Apart from other considerations, Socialism is Jewish in its make-up. The falsity of its propaganda, the main ingredient of which is that the British employer of labour is the enemy of his workmen, its total absence of sportsmanship, its tyranny, its view of the wage-earners in the light of cattle, its hatred of Christianity, are Jewish, not British. The fallacies of the theories of Socialism are pointed out in books and periodicals, but it is difficult to compete with the hordes of Socialist teachers and professors, and the flattering publicity given by the "capitalist" Press to all kinds of Socialists, chiefly authors. The "Conservative" and Radical Parties, by various measures, have proved themselves to be imbued with Socialistic ideas, and this also has prevented

them from resisting effectively those who wish to go faster along the road to ruin.

The Socialist leaders who are sincere, and therefore ignorant, imagine that they can dispense with God and usurp His powers. If they were as capable as all that they would be more than capable of building up large and profitable businesses for themselves under private enterprise, and it is hard to believe that they would not have done so if they could. It would have been equally possible to lay the foundations of the Socialist State, without propaganda or Parliamentary majorities, by providing trade unionists with factories, mines, etc. There was plenty of money, and still is, to make a beginning. The "grasping" Capitalist employer, according to Socialist arguments, would soon have found his workmen deserting him for those places where they could obtain the "full reward of their labour."

The idea of our enemy, Mr. Bernard Shaw, of giving everyone a minimum of £500 a year would benefit no one except Jews, who would be ready to profit by the confusion, as they did over the German mark swindle, when all Germans became multi-millionaires, and many of them starved. It could only mean a sudden inflation of the currency, otherwise rise in prices. Most of us would be trying to "wangle a cushier job" than the one we had, or do without a job. If the genius of the British people enabled them to survive the ensuing chaos, the net result could only be that the miner, for instance, would find that his £650 a year, which he would now be drawing, was equal to his £150 pre-Shaw. Imagination boggles at what would happen over an arbitrary redistribution of wealth. Mr. Shaw would be doing a useful service by explaining how he would go about this if given a free hand. The nearer the approach to such redistribution, that is, the higher the taxation for "social services," the nearer we must be to chaos and starvation.

A rich Socialist has said that the worst thing he and his like could do would be to give their money to the poor, but he did not explain why it should at the same time be a good thing to confiscate other people's money and give that to the poor, as they maintain it is, with bureaucrats taking their percentage beforehand.

The rich man is controlled by Nature. If he ate as much as he could afford to buy there would be some reason for all the noise that goes on. He cannot spend his money without benefitting wage-earners. When he belongs to a hostile race, or is an adherent of this race, it is an entirely different matter, but this does not apply to rich men only.

If the older Parties had been patriotic they would never have allowed the working-man to be gulled into the belief that the Socialists were his special protectors, or anything but his enemies working, whether consciously or not, for his enslavement under Jewish super-capitalism.

An explanation is due from Mr. Baldwin as to why he formed his Cabinet out of the Coalition Government which he took the lead in deposing; why he included Mr. Churchill, and why he has consistently appointed Radicals and Jews as Chairmen of Commissions, or as Imperial Governors. Why did he acquiesce in the anomalous situation that arose over the coal strike? Immediately the strike began there was a shout from its inciters that the miners and their families were not being fed. The reply, in almost apologetic tones, was that they were being fed, and even better than before. Presumably even the Socialists will agree that if everybody ceased work, feeding would also cease. Will they say what is the largest proportion of the population that can refuse to work, and yet have a right to be fed by those who remain at work? The promoters of the strike, by their outcry, were placing the miners on the level of cattle, that is, as having no responsibility for their actions. If our civilisation were still Christian, the miners would not have forgotten their duty towards their neighbour, and would have taken the trouble to understand the position before agreeing to stop work, thereby avoiding much of the misery they are now enduring, misery at which their pretended friends are delighted. As things were, the strike was not only subsidised by the Soviet Government, but by the British Government as well.

Mr. Churchill is frequently depicted in the Press cartoons as the tormentor of the tax-payer. It would not be surprising if he laughed as heartily at these amusing cartoons as others do, or even if he collaborated with the cartoonists. Does he care if the Conservative Party is smashed? The Home Secretary cannot, it seems, deport Jewish criminals because Russia will not have them back, but Mr. Churchill knows how to deport hundreds of English retired officers to France and Belgium, or wherever they can find a refuge.

In the present state of confusion it is possible that some Jew will present himself as our rescuer, with a programme so lucid, sensible and popular that, encouraged by the plaudits of the Press, the most wary might be deceived. When Trotsky has been suggested as the coming saviour of Russia, one can be surprised at nothing.

As for the next General Election, one can only hope that the Conservatives will be returned again with a full majority, but that the electorate

will not rest satisfied with that. The sham Conservatism we have been getting must not be tolerated, and politicians should no longer be immune from punishment. On no account should Jews or half-aliens be returned to Parliament. Mr. Baldwin is overfond of quoting Disraeli, but he ignores the vital quotations, a minor one of which is "All is race."
March, 1929.

READ

THE PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION.

(Marsden's Translation)

Cloth 3/- Paper 1/6 Postage 3d.

Published by :

**THE BRITONS PUBLISHING SOCIETY,
40, Great Ormond Street,
London, W.C.1, England.**