

LORD MELCHETT

LORD MELCHETT has pronounced that all industry must be amalgamated into Combines. He calls this "Rationalisation," which implies that no reasonable person could find fault with it, yet the only argument in favour of it appears to be that it will eliminate wasteful competition. Wasteful of what? A competitor cannot afford to be wasteful.

A member (at the time) of the Government, Sir Burton Chadwick, repeated his lordship's fiat, and Mr. Baldwin has spoken of it, without disapproval, as something inevitable.

The Socialists like the idea, and that is natural enough, since Socialism and Jewish Super-capitalism amount to the same thing, namely, the elimination of the Gentile employer and the enslavement of the wage-earner, who will have no choice of employers and no chance of becoming his own employer if so fitted by Nature.

Things have been working out favourably for the Combine-makers. The Socialists teach the working-man that the British employer is his enemy, so making easier the arrangement of strikes. A section of the "Capitalist" press charges the British employers with incompetence. The Chancellors of the Exchequer do their part by means of hostile taxation.

Following on devastating strikes, Lord Melchett started the "Peace in Industry" Conference with the Trade Union leaders and he was hailed in some quarters as the wonderful discoverer of some new truth, as though the necessity for harmony between master and man is not obvious, to men of good will. One of the results of this Conference, by the way, will apparently be the formation of One Big Union, which is what the Communists are shouting for. "Slavery for the Working-man," is another way of putting it.

The Employers' Organisations are now being invited, and by some dragooned, to join in this Conference. The Labour Correspondent of the *Morning Post* (28th December, 1928) ended an article on the subject with these words: "The view of Lord Melchett and his friends is that if the present endeavours break down, and employers do not respond to the gesture made, they will simply be throwing affairs into the hands of Mr. Cook and his followers." What is this if it is not a threat? Whose threat is it? Whosever it is, the words imply that Mr. Cook is not a free agent, and that gentleman should have immediately repudiated the implication. If this is not the meaning, how does the threatener know what Mr. Cook's intentions are? He opposed the "Peace in Industry" Conference. Why should he start more trouble simply because of its failure?

In view of recent statements made by Lord Melchett, quoted below, it behoves him to give a public explanation as to why he should be trusted by this country with the immense power which the control of Combines places in his hands. The statements, which are presumably correct, since they have not been repudiated, are as follows:—

"This movement (the Jewish National Home) is to me the most important, not merely for us, but for the whole world. Think back only a few years. Let me take you back to 1913. If I had stood here in 1913 and said to you, 'Come to a conference to discuss the reconstruction of a national home in Palestine' you would have looked upon me as an idle dreamer; even if I had told you in 1913 that the Austrian Archduke would be killed and that out of all that followed would come the chance, the opportunity, the occasion for establishing a national home for the Jews in Palestine. Has it ever occurred to you how remarkable it is that out of the welter of world blood there has arisen this opportunity? Do you really believe that this is an accident? Do you really in your hearts believe that we have been led back to Israel by nothing but a fluke? Do you believe there is no great inner meaning to the opportunity we have been given? After 2,000 years of wandering in the wilderness we have a chance and an opportunity bestowed upon us, and many sit back and say that it is of no interest to them. I wonder if they have thought of that train of circumstances."—(Extract from speech at a Conference in New York, reported in *The Jewish Chronicle*, 9th November, 1928).

"I have no misgivings for a moment that Palestine can be built up and maintain a great and prosperous population. But can it, is it, going to be a Jewish national homeland, or a Goyishe national home? The Jewish Capitalist is hesitating while the Goy is finding out that Palestine is a place for good and healthy investments. We always came to a Goyishe country and made money there; now I am afraid the Goy is going to come to our country, and make money there."—(Extract from Address delivered in Montreal, quoted in *The Jewish World*, 15th November, 1928).

"Where are the great empires of those who destroyed and scattered us abroad over the world? They have disappeared; they have gone; they are in ruins but . . . Israel marches on for ever; Zion still stands."—(Quoted by *The Patriot*, 29th November, 1928, from an article in the Jewish paper, *Sentinel*, Chicago).

The second extract quoted above shows that non-Jews are foreigners to Lord Melchett and his co-racials. Consequently the British people are entitled to regard him as a foreigner and to be assured before agreeing to the revolutionary changes involved in general amalgamation. It is suggested that Lord Melchett's public statement should show—

- (1) the advantages of Combines over private enterprise, and even over Socialism;
- (2) what security the country has against a failure of the Combines, through bankruptcy, strikes, or otherwise, except the good will, patriotism and ability of the controllers;
- (3) why the country should take the risk of giving such vast powers to a small group of men responsible to no one;
- (4) that there is no group of persons in the background with power sufficient to wreck the Combines, and so cause chaos and starvation, in spite of the efforts of Lord Melchett and his friends to save them.

If Lord Melchett cannot give a satisfactory answer it is madness for British employers and employees not to resist the formation of Combines.

An extract from that remarkable book, the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, reads as follows : " We shall soon begin to establish huge monopolies, reservoirs of colossal riches, upon which even large fortunes of the goyim will depend to such an extent that they will go to the bottom together with the credit of the States on the day after the political smash." (It is on account of this passage that section (4) of the invited statement is inserted). Further on in this book the Elders say they will re-establish small-master production ; " a people of small masters knows nothing of unemployment unemployment is a most perilous thing for government."

February, 1929.

THE PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION

(Marsden's Translation)

Cloth 3/- Paper 1/6 Postage 3d.

Published by :

THE BRITONS PUBLISHING SOCIETY,

40, Great Ormond Street,

London, W.C.1., England.

Printed by H. F. Lucas & Co., Southend for The Britons Publishing Society,
40, Great Ormond Street, London, W.C.1.